## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES	OF AMERICA, I	Plaintiff,		Case Number	12mj70159HRL	
v. JOSE ALVARENO	iΑ,	Defendant.	ORD	ER OF DETENTI	ION PENDING TR	<u>IAL</u>
Defendant was pres Attorney <u>Ann Marie</u>	ent, represented l <u>Ursini</u> .	Reform Act, 18 U.S by his attorney Cynt	•	•		·
of a prior offense de period of not more t whichever is later.	fendant is charge scribed in 18 U.S han five (5) year	ed with an offense de S.C. § 3142(f)(1) wh s has elapsed since t	tile on release pend he date of conviction	ing trial for a fede on or the release o	eral, state or local o of the person from i	ffense, and a mprisonment,
		e presumption that no	o condition or com	bination of condit	tions will reasonabl	y assure the safety
of any other person		nty. e based upon (the ind	lictment) (the facts	found in Part IV l	below) to believe th	hat the defendant
has committed an o		o canous upon (one me	(		,	
A		a maximum term of		0 years or more is	prescribed in 21 U	.S.C. §
<b>.</b>		., § 951 et seq., or §		41	of a falance	IFN
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.  This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.						
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.						
No pre	sumption applies	s.	·		CI EDICHARD	) W 14
PART II. REBUTTA / / The de	L OF PRESUMPT	TIONS, IF APPLICABLE come forward with s	LE ufficient evidence	to rebut the applic	NORTHERN U.S. E cable presumpti <b>&amp;d</b>	NEKING NETRICT COURT NET AND COURT
No presumption applies.  PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE  / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumptions in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendance has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendance has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendance has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption in the defendance has not come forward with sufficient evidence and the defendance has not come forward with the applicable presumption in the defendance has not come forward with the defendance has not come forward with the defendance has not come forward with the defendance has not						
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .						
		hifts back to the Unit		~>		
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)						
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR						
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will						
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.						
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION						
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at						
the hearing and finds as follows:						
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.						
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION  The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a						
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.						
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the						
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in the corrections facility shall deliver the						
defendant to the United	l States Marshal	for the purpose of ar	n appearance in cor	nection with a co	ourt proceeding.	
Dated:	ıγ		HOWARD R. LI	OXB OX		

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_